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STANDARDS FOR RADIATION SHIELDING

Rationale

The Queensland Department of Health (the Department) has rationalised the radiation shielding design approval process by permitting the use of design parameters specific to the facility and equipment, with the focus being on the radiation safety outcome of the design. Audits will be used to ensure the process is implemented correctly.

The National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) and National Occupational Health and Safety Commission (NOHSC) document entitled *Recommendations for limiting exposure to ionizing radiation (1995)* and *National standard for limiting occupational exposure to ionizing radiation* has recommended that the annual limit on occupational exposure be reduced from 50mSv to 20mSv per year. In addition, areas are to be designated: public, supervised and controlled. In keeping with these changes, the Department has reduced the radiation dose design limit for controlled areas from 100µSv/wk to 40µSv/wk. In practice, the move to individualised rather than generic shielding designs is likely to offset this tightening by a comfortable margin and hence give rise to a cost advantage to the community. It is planned that the radiation dose design limit will be reviewed in two years time when the outcome of individualised designs in terms of personal exposure is apparent.

Standards

Each radiation facility shall be designed to ensure that doses to the public and those occupationally exposed are kept as low as reasonably achievable, economic and social factors being taken into consideration (ALARA). Under normal circumstances each facility should be designed to satisfy the following Design Limits. Under special circumstances, approved on a case by case basis by the Chief Health Officer, the Design Limit may be exceeded. Under no circumstances whatsoever must the Effective Dose Limit be exceeded.

Design Limits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area Classifications</th>
<th>Effective Dose Limit (EDL)</th>
<th>Design Limit (to be averaged on a weekly basis)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Areas</td>
<td>1mSv/yr</td>
<td>1/2 EDL or 10µSv/wk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervised</td>
<td>20mSv/yr</td>
<td>1/40 EDL or 10µSv/wk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>20mSv/yr</td>
<td>1/10 EDL or 40µSv/wk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chief Health Officer ______________________  Date ______________________

Definitions

**Controlled area:** An area to which access is subject to control and in which employees are required to follow specific procedures aimed at controlling exposure to radiation.

**Supervised area:** An area in which working conditions are kept under review but in which special procedures to control exposure to radiation are not normally necessary.

**Public Area:** Any area that is neither controlled nor supervised is not regarded as occupationally exposed.
POLICY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE STANDARD

1. BACKGROUND

1.1 Introduction

The Queensland Department of Health (the Department) administers the Radioactive Substances Act 1958. Under the provisions of this Act persons or corporations responsible for radiation practices are required to be licensed and to ensure that the use of radiation devices and sources does not expose people to radiation doses that exceed the National Health and Medical Research Council's recommended limits. In many practices, radiation shielding is an important protective measure to ensure radiation levels are kept as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA) and well below the recommended limits.

This document was produced by the Radiation Health unit of the Department with valuable input from other persons involved in radiation shielding assessment and design. The persons who contributed to the production of this manual are listed in Appendix 7. This manual has been endorsed by the Radiological Advisory Council of Queensland.

This manual provides information to licensees, radiation shielding consultants, architects and others on radiation shielding which satisfies the requirements and the intent of Queensland's Standards for Radiation Shielding.

1.2 Scope

This manual has been written to address the shielding requirements of dental, chiropractic, medical diagnostic, medical therapy, veterinary, medical research and other health related facilities. This manual will be revised to fully address other radiation practices such as non-medical applications of radiation sources or devices in the near future.

This manual is not intended to be a comprehensive guide for the technical preparation of shielding designs. Technical preparation of shielding designs must, however, be in accordance with methods described in the reference documents listed in Appendix 2.

1.3 Radiation Protection Philosophy

The National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) and National Occupational Health and Safety Commission (NOHSC) document entitled Recommendations for Limiting Exposure to Ionizing Radiation (1995) and National Standard for Limiting Occupational Exposure to Ionizing Radiation has recommended radiation protection in continuing and proposed practices be controlled through justification, dose/risk limitation and optimisation.

The application of dose constraints, a generic approach based on the ALARA principle, means restricting doses to a fraction of the relevant limits. The system of radiation protection adopted by the Department provides for constraints on dose which are established by the Chief Health
Officer. Accordingly, the Department has adopted a practice whereby the integral effective
dose received by persons, as a consequence of the operation of the radiation facility during a
week, is not greater than specified Design Limits. The Design Limits, which are specific for
classes of areas, are fractions of the weekly pro-rata Effective Dose Limits.

1.4 Radiation Shielding Policy

The use of radiation apparatus and sealed and unsealed radioactive substances for health and
industrial purposes may give rise to radiation dose or exposure rates above the Design Limits.
The owners of such practices are required to undertake an assessment to establish if any
radiation shielding is required to reduce possible radiation exposure to acceptable levels. This
requirement applies to new radiation practices as well as facilities in which changes to work
procedures, radiation sources, workloads and occupancy may cause radiation levels to exceed
the Design Limits.

In the preparation of shielding designs, design parameters specific to each facility and
equipment combination or, alternatively and where appropriate, default design parameters
published in this manual, may be used.

The development of shielding designs is typically a complex matter requiring specialist
knowledge and expertise. For this reason the Department strongly recommends that shielding
designs be prepared by persons (hereafter called "consultants") who are recognised by the
Department as being capable of producing such designs.

In the construction of a radiation facility the Department has identified the roles of the
consultant and the owner as follows:

1.4.1 The role of the radiation shielding consultant

The consultant shall:

(i) Use methods and data contained or referenced in this document when preparing
radiation shielding designs.

(ii) Certify that the design has been prepared using methods detailed in this
document, and that the design satisfies Design Limits established by the Chief
Health Officer.

(iii) Provide a signed copy of the design report to the owner of the facility.

(iv) Provide directions for inspection of radiation shielding by specifying:

   X minimum and preferred shielding specifications for each radiation
   facility.

   X recommended critical locations (important in terms of public health
   risk) for the inspection of facilities.

(v) Certify that the radiation shielding has been installed as per the shielding
The role of the owner

If the owner wishes to construct a facility according to the shielding design in order that he or she may use a radiation source, he or she shall:

(i) Construct the radiation facility to conform to a design prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Chief Health Officer.

(ii) Provide to the Department certification that the facility has been constructed in accordance with the radiation shielding design, preferably developed by a shielding consultant.

(iii) Certify that the radiation practice will operate in accordance with design parameters detailed in the facility shielding design. It will be a condition of licence that appropriate records be kept.

(iv) Be cognisant of the details of design parameters and make available to the Department a copy of the design report.

A Notification of Construction of Radiation Shielding form should be submitted to the Department on construction of a facility. The Department may audit radiation practices to ensure compliance with conditions of design and design parameters.
2. RADIATION SHIELDING DESIGN

2.1 Design Methods and Data

Radiation shielding designs may be prepared using default data contained in this shielding manual or design parameters specific to the facility and equipment. Where the second option is exercised, reasons for the nominated values along with appropriate references such as equipment manufacturer's specifications (if applicable) should be documented in the design report and retained for Departmental audit purposes. It is, however, strongly recommended that any design parameters used should accommodate an allowance or the provision for growth in the business associated with the operation of the radiation apparatus, facility or practice.

The owners requiring shielding designs may wish to consider two options, either:

(i) use of generic designs for rooms of specific practice types; or
(ii) individual designs for each facility.

Generic shielding designs are designs requiring very little assessment that may be readily applied to facilities, and offer a pragmatic approach to the design of radiation shielding. The Department encourages the development and use of generic designs especially for low public health risk facilities (eg. veterinary, conventional dental, mammography etc) as the development of individual designs for such facilities is labourious and time consuming and may therefore be costly. The owners should discuss each of these options with their design consultant.

2.2 Design Principles

The design of radiation shielding for facilities depends on a number of factors, including:

- maximum X-ray tube voltage and continuous tube current
- maximum weekly workload
- type of radiation (ie. primary or secondary)
- distance from the radiation source or scatterer to occupied areas
- activity of source, half life and local shielding (for sealed and unsealed sources)
- the surface area of the irradiated medium
- occupancy of the adjoining areas
- use factors (ie. the proportion of time the radiation is aimed in a particular direction)
- an allowance for variations in the quality of the shielding materials to be used
- an allowance for reasonable growth in the business

Radiation shielding must take account of all areas of interest where the occupancy by persons has been assigned a value of unity (ie. T=1). Areas with T<1 which are close or adjacent to the radiation source must also be considered. Shielding must account for areas above and below each room which contains the radiation sources as well as those on the same level.
2.3 Design Limits

The practical implementation of the system of radiation protection approved by the Department requires classification of areas. Areas must be classified as either: "public", "supervised" or "controlled". The Department's regulatory requirements must be satisfied in the design of shielding for all facilities. These are included in this manual under the heading Standards for Radiation Shielding.

2.4 Considerations in the Assessment of Radiation Shielding Requirements

Radiation shielding designs must take into account the following requirements:

2.4.1 Controlled areas must be assigned a full time occupancy for the portion of the day that the facility is in use. Other locations may have less than full time occupancy and accordingly may be assigned a lower occupancy factor.

2.4.2 Dose estimates are typically made at a point 300mm inside an area of interest and 1m above the floor or ground, but if there is a position or circumstance where the dose to occupants or persons may be higher, then the worst case situation must be used.

2.4.3 For multiple source radiation facilities, the combined dose at any location within or outside the facility should be less than or equal to the Design Limit.

2.4.4 Appropriate radiation shielding that extends from the floor to a height of 2100mm will normally provide adequate protection for people on the same level as the X-ray facility. Occupiable areas above or below the level of the X-ray facility may require additional shielding.

2.4.5 The shielding behind a vertical bucky must extend a minimum of 2000mm (height) and 500mm (width) in each direction from the centre point of the bucky.

2.4.6 Wherever possible, doorways should not be placed in positions where they are able to be irradiated by the primary beam.

2.4.7 Some materials or equipment are radiation sensitive (eg. radiography "blue" film, nuclear medicine imaging equipment, personal dosimetry badges etc). Accordingly, special consideration should be made for adequate protection of these items. This may necessitate using lower radiation dose design limits for these items than those set for the protection of humans.

2.4.8 The shielding design shall provide protection from all radiation sources including patient scatter. This includes all locations and orientations of radiation sources and patient positions.

2.4.9 Where possible, protective screens should be used (eg. between a patient under a gamma camera and technologist operating the controls, between mobile X-ray equipment and the operator and other patients, etc).
2.4.10 All occupiable areas outside the control of the owner of a facility must be assigned an occupancy of 1 for the full workload of the facility.

2.5 Dose Contributions from Existing Radiation Facilities
Over the years the Department has revised the Design Limits in response to changes in radiation protection standards. The following table details the changes to the Design Limits.

### Changes to the Design Limits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiation Facilities</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Occupancy</th>
<th>Design Limit (µSv/wk)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constructed pre-1986</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constructed 1986-1993</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>1/4 or 1/16</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constructed 1993-1996</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>1, 1/4 or 1/16</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the preparation of shielding designs, dose contributions from other radiation facilities may be accounted for as follows:

2.5.1 **Facilities under the control of the one owner**

When a new radiation facility is to be constructed adjacent to one or more existing radiation facilities, the dose at any location external to the facility must meet the current Design Limits.

Radiation facilities approved by the Department in the past have been designed using conservative design parameters. A shielding assessment using design parameters specific to the current operation of the existing facility or facilities may reveal that the installed radiation shielding exceeds requirements, consequently the combined dose contributions from the new and existing radiation facilities may meet the current Design Limits. However, in instances where the Design Limits are exceeded, additional shielding may need to be installed to ensure that the Design Limits are achieved.

2.5.2 **Facilities not under the control of the one owner**

When a new radiation facility is to be constructed adjacent to one or more existing
radiation facilities, areas outside the control of the owner of the new facility must be assigned an occupancy of 1 and designed to meet the current Design Limit for public areas.

If a consultant is concerned that the use of an existing facility adjacent to a facility he/she is designing will lead to an unacceptably high radiation dose to persons, the consultant or the owner should advise the Chief Health Officer of his/her concerns in writing.

2.6 Additional Considerations

In the preparation of shielding designs, the owners should take the following into consideration:

2.6.1 The cost of installing adequate shielding for the life time of the facility, at the time of construction, is considerably less than if additional shielding has to be added at a later date.

2.6.2 Safeguards which can be engineered into a facility, such as constraints to limit beam direction or radiation shielding, are more reliable than those which rely on human intervention.

2.6.3 Conservative design parameters, not those specific to the current equipment or workload expectation, may remain adequate for a greater period; perhaps the life time of the facility.

2.6.4 Errors in the installation of shielding may be prevented if shielding designs are kept as simple as possible (eg. if the recommended shielding is 20kg/m\(^2\) lead for part of wall A and 25kg/m\(^2\) lead for the remaining part of the wall, it may be preferable that 25kg/m\(^2\) lead be installed for the entire wall A).

2.6.5 Allowances for variations in the quality of shielding materials and in the construction methods should be made during the preparation of shielding designs to ensure that the shielding, once installed, meets the desired specifications.
3. DESIGN REPORT

The design report details the radiation shielding design. The full design report for a radiation facility should be kept by the owner licensee. The following information should be included in the design report:

3.1 Name and address of the facility where the radiation source is installed. Where more than one radiation source is installed, unique identification for each facility and its radiation source should be included.

3.2 The proposed use of the facility (eg. mammography, specialised procedures room, veterinary radiography, dental radiography, radioactive substances store etc).

3.3 Working plans which include scaled shielding plan, site plan and elevation profiles of the facility. It is preferred that the shielding plan be 1:20, 1:50 or 1:100 scale. The shielding plan should include the following details:

(i) The description of the adjoining areas (eg. office, darkroom, corridor etc) including the assigned occupancy and the definition of the premises owned or under the administrative control of the owner licensee.

(ii) The location of the radiation source and ancillary equipment, eg. the position of the generator/control console, X-ray table, vertical bucky, image intensifier, gamma camera etc. If the radiation facility has more than one radiation source in a room, this should also be specified.

(iii) The direction(s) of the primary beam and the likely use factor.

(iv) The location of the protective shield at the generator/control position, including any attached wings, if applicable, should be clearly indicated.

(v) Appropriate classification of the area(s) (ie. controlled, supervised or public).

(vi) Location of the dark room and store for unexposed films.

(vii) Locations of other sources of radiation within the owner's facility.

3.4 The conditions of the design must be clearly listed.

3.5 Information concerning the radiation apparatus/device/source, such as:

(i) The maximum workload expressed in mA.min/week.

(ii) The maximum kVp, mA and tube leakage (expressed in mGy/hr at 1metre), focus skin distance, input field size etc.

(iii) The radioactive substance (sealed and unsealed) and maximum activity.
(iv) Local shielding for the radioactive substances.

(v) Isodose curves for CT scanners and other electrically energised radiation sources if available.

Further details such as manufacturer's specifications, derivation of workload etc should be attached to the design report when design data other than default data is used.

3.6 The shielding material, including specifications, for all barriers (eg. lead-20kg/m², plasterboard-16mm).

3.7 Methodologies (ie. which references from Appendix 1 and 2) used to assess or determine the shielding requirements.

3.8 The critical features of the design to facilitate subsequent inspection of radiation shielding.
4. CERTIFICATION OF RADIATION SHIELDING

Construction and installation of radiation shielding must be in compliance with methodologies recommended by the Department. Appendix 2 lists documents which detail recognised methodologies for construction and inspection of radiation shielding.

Certification that the shielding for a facility has been installed in accordance with the radiation shielding design must be undertaken by persons recognised by the Department to have appropriate skills and knowledge in the inspection of radiation shielding.

4.1 Inspection of Radiation Shielding

Some radiation practices are a greater or more significant radiation hazard than others due to radiation apparatus capability, medical procedures undertaken, frequency of use, operating techniques, radioactive substance activities etc. Accordingly, radiation facilities have been categorised into three groups which represent the relative public health risk if appropriate shielding is not installed. Radiation facilities typically belonging to category I (low public health risk), category II (medium public health risk) and category III (high public health risk) are listed in Appendix 5.

The public health impact of a failure of a shielding design for category I facilities is likely to be less than for higher category facilities as higher radiation fields are involved in the latter. Consequently the requirements to be satisfied for certification of radiation shielding are likely to be more stringent for higher category facilities.

Inspection of radiation shielding is undertaken to verify:

4.1.1 Integrity and attenuation properties of installed radiation shielding

Shielding integrity of building materials can be affected significantly by manufacturing or building practices, for example, variation in the composition of components of concrete aggregate or voids in mortar courses can affect the attenuation properties of brick, concrete and masonry walls; variations in the thickness of lead sheets may affect the attenuation ability of lead shielded facilities. The integrity of the materials used for shielding should be verified before providing certification.

Inspection of the shielding of radiation premises must verify that shielding integrity is not diminished by joints, openings for ducts, pipes, etc passing through the shielded surface. Doors and observation windows require special consideration to ensure adequate protection without undue reduction of desirable operational characteristics.

It is important for owners of radiation facilities to ensure that the installed structural shielding complies with the specifications of the shielding design to ensure that the public health risks are reduced to acceptable levels.

4.1.2 Adequacy of shielding design (ie. ensuring dose limits are maintained below the Design Limits)
Deficiencies in radiation shielding designs may arise due to insufficient/incorrect information available to the person preparing the design, complex operating techniques of the radiation apparatus etc. A radiation dose survey may be used to confirm that the Design Limits are not exceeded when the facility is operational, and consequently such surveys are useful in assessing the adequacy of shielding designs.

4.2 Methods of Inspection of Radiation Shielding

Inspection of radiation shielding should include, where applicable, verification of:

- thickness and extent of lead, concrete, lead glass etc.
- the degree of overlap of lead sheets, and other materials
- shielding behind switches, locks and other conduits

Recommended methods for inspection of radiation shielding are:

4.2.1 Visual inspection during construction

Visual inspections at critical periods during construction are advantageous in ensuring compliance with specifications. Faulty materials or workmanship can be remedied relatively economically at the time of construction.

4.2.2 Non destructive testing

Non destructive testing may be used to confirm shielding specifications after construction of the facility or may be used to determine the radiation attenuation properties of radiation shielding in existing facilities. It is also useful in ascertaining radiation shielding properties of building materials (e.g. differentiate between plate glass and lead glass). The procedure may include, for example, the use of a radioactive substance or a mobile X-ray unit operated at an appropriate potential, and a detector for the determination of the radiation transmission through the shielded walls etc.

4.2.3 Destructive testing

Destructive testing of radiation shielding is another means of verifying shielding specifications after construction of the facility. This type of testing may have limited applications. Care must be exercised to ensure that the integrity of shielding is re-established after testing.

4.2.4 Radiation dose survey

A radiation dose survey is a screening procedure involving quantitative measurements to determine the location of radiation fields. A dose survey can be used to confirm shielding specifications and also verify that the dose limits are not being exceeded. All areas near the radiation facility are evaluated to determine whether any person is likely to receive doses greater than the Design Limits taking into account the expected workload, use factors and occupancy. If the survey shows additional shielding is required, another survey should be performed after installation of additional shielding.
4.3 Requirements for Certification of Radiation Shielding

Certification of radiation shielding for all facilities must include confirmation that the shielding installed complies with the specifications of the design.

A visual inspection and a radiation dose survey is required for certification of radiation shielding for Category III facilities. Certification of all other facilities may be undertaken following:

(i) visual inspection, or

(ii) non-destructive testing, or

(iii) destructive testing, or

(iv) a radiation dose survey.

While certifying radiation shielding, consultants also have a duty of care to verify shielding plan details such as facility layout including position of radiation apparatus, bucky, table, controls and other accessories are consistent with the design. Safety devices such as door interlocks, limiting switches for beam interlocks, mechanical stops, hazard signs etc must also be checked.
5. INFORMATION TO BE SUPPLIED TO THE DEPARTMENT

5.1 The form *Notification of Construction of Radiation Shielding*.

5.2 Copy of the working plans.

5.3 Copy of the design report.

The appropriate *Notification of Construction of Radiation Shielding* form should be submitted to the Department on completion of construction of a radiation facility. Relevant sections should be completed by the owner and the person(s) responsible for the preparation of the shielding design and inspection of the radiation shielding.
6. **AUDIT BY THE DEPARTMENT**

All facilities are subject to audit by the Department. Appropriate use of design parameters and working plan details will be verified. Owners of facilities which are deficient or owners who operate outside the design conditions or design parameters will be issued with an improvement notice which will require corrective action within a specified time or a prohibition notice which will prohibit further use of the equipment until the deficiency is rectified.
7. CONSULTATION

The Department invites contributions for additions/amendments to this manual, specifically Appendix 1 and 2. Such amendments/additions with supporting documentation should be in writing and addressed to the Chief Health Officer, 450 Gregory Terrace, Fortitude Valley, Queensland, 4006.
Appendix 1  Default Shielding Data

It is important to note that the Department's radiation shielding policy permits the use of design data that is specific to each facility and radiation apparatus combination. However, the option to use the default design data in this Appendix is also provided.

Note: The default shielding data should reasonably be able to be applied to a majority of radiation facilities, however, if this data is to be used, consultants should perform an assessment to verify that the default shielding data is applicable to the facility. It is important to note that default shielding data may not satisfactorily account for atypical radiation practices. Facilities which cannot be classified as standard radiation facilities should not use default shielding data.

The Department does not accept any responsibility for inappropriate use of default shielding data.
### Item 1.1 Medical Diagnostic Applications

#### 1.1.1 Radiography  
*(Note 1)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X-ray Equipment Type</th>
<th>Max. Tube Voltage, V (kVp)</th>
<th>Max. Workload, W (mA.min/wk)</th>
<th>Max. Continuous Tube Current, I (mA)</th>
<th>Radiation Beam Use Factor, U</th>
<th>Film Focus Distance, FFD (m)</th>
<th>Field Size (cm²)</th>
<th>Film Size (cm x cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Floor</td>
<td>Bucky</td>
<td>Walls</td>
<td>Table Work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>125</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic Mobile</td>
<td>&lt; 100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional (Note 5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic Cap.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note 1: Where the X-ray equipment is capable of two modes of operation (namely, radioscopy/radiography, tomography/radiography) shielding parameters as for radiography installations should be used.

Note 2: Where position and orientation of X-ray equipment within a proposed X-ray facility are not confirmed, then a use factor $U=1/4$ is assigned to each wall.

Note 3: Use factor = 0 for walls where there is no primary radiation beam exposure.

Note 4: Data from NCRP Structural Shielding Design and Evaluation for Medical Use of X-rays and Gamma Rays of Energies up to 10 MeV, NCRP Report 49, 1976.

Note 5: Data relevant to general medical practices only - not applicable to procedures in accident and emergency departments, coronary care etc.
### 1.1.2 Radioscopy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Max. Tube Voltage, V (kVp)</th>
<th>Max. Workload, W (mA.min/wk) (Note 1)</th>
<th>Max. Continuous Tube Current, I (mA)</th>
<th>Radiation Beam Use Factor, U</th>
<th>Film Focus Distance, FFD (m)</th>
<th>Field Size (cm²)</th>
<th>Film Size (cm x cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fluoroscopy</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1225</td>
<td>35 x 35 or 24 x 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>125</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiography</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endoscopy</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: data from NCRP Structural Shielding Design and Evaluation for Medical Use of X-rays and Gamma Rays of Energies up to 10MeV, NCRP 49, 1976.

### 1.1.3 Chirography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X-ray Equipment Type</th>
<th>Max. Tube Voltage, V (kVp)</th>
<th>Max. Workload, W (mA.min/wk) (Note 1)</th>
<th>Max. Continuous Tube Current, I (mA)</th>
<th>Radiation Beam Use Factor, U</th>
<th>Film Focus Distance, FFD (m) (Note 1)</th>
<th>Field Size (cm²) (Note 1)</th>
<th>Film Size (cm x cm) (Note 1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### 1.1.4 Mammography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X-ray Equipment Type</th>
<th>Max Operating Voltage, V (kVp)</th>
<th>Max Workload, W (mA.min/wk)</th>
<th>Max Continuous Tube Current, I (mA)</th>
<th>Max Radiation Beam Use Factor, U</th>
<th>Radiation Beam Distance, FFD (m)</th>
<th>Field Size (cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mammography</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>700 (Note 1)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>432</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: General radiology practice/hospital.
Note 2: Breast screening/breast clinics/women's diagnostic centres.
Note 3: data from Craig A, *Shielding requirements for mammography - Proposed new parameters for mammography shielding calculations*, Department of Physical Sciences, Royal Brisbane Hospital, 1995.
### 1.1.5 Dento-Maxillofacial Radiography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X-ray Equipment Type</th>
<th>Max Operating Voltage, V (kVp)</th>
<th>Max Workload, W (mA.min/wk)</th>
<th>Max Continuous Tube Current, I (mA)</th>
<th>Radiation Beam Use Factor, U</th>
<th>Film Focus Distance, FFD (m)</th>
<th>Field Size (cm²)</th>
<th>Film Size (cm x cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dental Intra-Oral Receptor</td>
<td>70 (Note 4)</td>
<td>6 (Note 5)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1/32 (Note 1.5)</td>
<td>1/32 (Note 5)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panoramic Tomography</td>
<td>90 (Note 4)</td>
<td>200 (Note 5)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1/3 (Note 2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalometric</td>
<td>90 (Note 4)</td>
<td>33 (Note 5)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1 (Note 3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>432</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: Where the orientation of the dental chair is not confirmed then all walls and the floor are assigned a use factor U=1/32. Where the orientation of the dental chair is confirmed then a use factor U=1/32 is assigned to the floor and walls at which the useful beam for a full mouth radiographic series will be directed.

Note 2: Where the orientation of the dental panoramic unit is not confirmed then a use factor U=1/3 is assigned to all walls.

Note 3: Where the orientation of the dental cephalometric attachment is not confirmed then a use factor of U=1 is assigned to all walls. Where the orientation of the cephalometric attachment is confirmed then the exposed walls are assigned a use factor U=1.

Note 4: Dental applications only.


Note 6: Manual procedures only.

Note 7: Special procedures only.
### 1.1.6 Veterinary Radiography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X-ray Equipment Type</th>
<th>Max Tube Voltage, V (kVp)</th>
<th>Max Workload, W (mA.min/wk)</th>
<th>Max. Continuous Tube Current, I (mA)</th>
<th>Use Factor, U</th>
<th>Film Focus Distance, FFD (m) (Note 2)</th>
<th>Field Size (cm²) (Note 2)</th>
<th>Film Size (cm x cm) (Note 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Veterinary (small animal work)</td>
<td>&lt; 100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1/16 (Note 1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>125</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: Where there is confirmation that the useful beam is directed only towards the floor the use factor for the walls may be assigned 0.


### 1.1.7 CT Scanning and Bone Densitometry

1. Radiation shielding designs for CT scanners should be developed using isodose curves supplied by the manufacturer and a workload of 2500 Slices/week.

2. Radiation shielding designs for bone densitometers, where appropriate, should be developed using isodose curves supplied by the manufacturer and a scanning time of 800 min/week for screening applications only.
### 1.1.8 Maximum Permissible Air Kerma Leakage Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assembly</th>
<th>Leakage Radiation (mGy/hr) at 1 metre&lt;sup&gt;(Note 1)&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intra-oral receptor</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other X-ray tube assemblies, except</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>therapeutic X-ray &gt;150kVp</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>(Note 1) Manufacturer's acceptance technical specifications for leakage radiation may be used in preference if available.</sup>
### 1.2.1 Empirical Dose Rate Constants for Radionuclides in Nuclear Medicine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radionuclide</th>
<th>Tc99m</th>
<th>I131</th>
<th>Ga67</th>
<th>Tl201</th>
<th>Mo99 in ARI Generator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scatter-free dose rate constant ( (\Phi \text{Sv.m}^2.\text{GBq}^{-1}.\text{hr}^{-1}) )</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured dose rate constant for radionuclide in glass vials ( (\Phi \text{Sv.m}^2.\text{GBq}^{-1}.\text{hr}^{-1}) )</td>
<td>(21 \pm 2)</td>
<td>(67 \pm 7)</td>
<td>(27 \pm 3)</td>
<td>(16 \pm 2)</td>
<td>(0.20 \pm 0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured dose rate constant for radioactive patients ( ^{\text{(Note 1)}} ) ( (\Phi \text{Sv.m}^2.\text{GBq}^{-1}.\text{hr}^{-1}) )</td>
<td>(15)</td>
<td>(45)</td>
<td>(20)</td>
<td>(12 \text{ (Note 2)})</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: Measured at one metre anterior to patient within 15 minutes of administration.

Note 2: Measured using water tank phantom due to low patient activities.
### 1.2.2 Measured Transmission Factors for Gamma Photons Through Lead Shielding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radionuclide</th>
<th>Tc99m</th>
<th>I131</th>
<th>Ga67</th>
<th>Ti201&lt;sup&gt;Note 1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Mo99 in ARI Generator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transmission factors for standard lead sheets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8mm (20kg.m&lt;sup&gt;-2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>1.54 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.6366</td>
<td>0.3109</td>
<td>0.0778</td>
<td>0.7984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6mm (30kg.m&lt;sup&gt;-2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>1.63 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.5161</td>
<td>0.2175</td>
<td>0.0597</td>
<td>0.7217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5mm (40kg.m&lt;sup&gt;-2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>1.73 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.4220</td>
<td>0.1586</td>
<td>0.0515</td>
<td>0.6554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3mm (60kg.m&lt;sup&gt;-2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>1.94 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.2881</td>
<td>0.0903</td>
<td>0.0427</td>
<td>0.5450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thick Barriers&lt;sup&gt;Note 2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum lead thickness (mm)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial transmission factor</td>
<td>1.373</td>
<td>0.730</td>
<td>0.330</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attenuation co-efficient (mm&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>2.551</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-value thickness (mm)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>Note 1</sup>: Australian Radioisotopes Ti201 at time of calibration with maximum permissible level of Ti200 contamination.

<sup>Note 2</sup>: For lead barriers thinner than the minimum lead thickness indicated, the transmission factor can be calculated using a simple inverse exponential transmission function starting at the initial transmission factor (ie. the calculated transmission factor when x = 0).
### 1.2.3 Measured Transmission Factors for Gamma Photons Through Concrete Block Barriers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radionuclide</th>
<th>Tc99m</th>
<th>I131</th>
<th>Ga67</th>
<th>Tl201 (Note 1)</th>
<th>Mo99 in ARI Generator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transmission factors for concrete block walls (Note 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40mm (hollow block) (Note 3)</td>
<td>0.5034</td>
<td>0.6582</td>
<td>0.5871</td>
<td>0.3597</td>
<td>0.3068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90mm filled block</td>
<td>0.1729</td>
<td>0.3393</td>
<td>0.2510</td>
<td>0.1186</td>
<td>0.2678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140mm filled block</td>
<td>0.0547</td>
<td>0.1677</td>
<td>0.1028</td>
<td>0.0452</td>
<td>0.1393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190mm filled block</td>
<td>0.0167</td>
<td>0.0820</td>
<td>0.0412</td>
<td>0.0188</td>
<td>0.0736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thick Concrete (Note 4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial transmission factor</td>
<td>1.609</td>
<td>1.261</td>
<td>1.376</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>0.812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attenuation co-efficient (mm(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.0240</td>
<td>0.0144</td>
<td>0.0185</td>
<td>0.0166</td>
<td>0.0126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-value thickness (mm)</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: Australian Radioisotopes Tl201 at time of calibration with maximum permissible level of Tl200 contamination.

Note 2: The density of the concrete blocks used for these measurements (2.29 ± 0.02 g.cc\(^{-1}\)) is .3% lower than the nominal density of structural concrete (2.36 g.cc\(^{-1}\)).

Note 3: A hollow precast concrete "besser" block is assumed to have a minimum integrated wall thickness of 40mm.

Note 4: For concrete barriers exceeding 200mm, the transmission factor can be calculated using a simple inverse exponential transmission function starting at the initial transmission factor (ie. the calculated transmission factor when x = 0).
### 1.2.4 Shielding Parameters for Radionuclides in Lead Storage Containers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radionuclide</th>
<th>Tc99m</th>
<th>I131 Diagnostic</th>
<th>I131 Therapy</th>
<th>Ga67</th>
<th>Tl201 <em>(Note 1)</em></th>
<th>Mo99 in ARI Generator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of lead storage pot and/or shield (mm)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>32 mm ARI Shield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalised dose-equivalent leakage rate from storage pot and/or shield (ΦSv.m².GBq⁻¹.hr⁻¹)</td>
<td>4.0 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>Door: 8 x 10⁻³ Wall: 12 x 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LEAD SHEETS: Transmission Factors</strong> <em>(Note 2)</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8mm (20kg.m⁻²)</td>
<td>1.12 x 10⁻²</td>
<td>0.715</td>
<td>0.761</td>
<td>0.626</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td>0.841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7mm (30kg.m⁻²)</td>
<td>1.19 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>0.610</td>
<td>0.668</td>
<td>0.501</td>
<td>0.768</td>
<td>0.771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5mm (40kg.m⁻²)</td>
<td>1.26 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>0.588</td>
<td>0.404</td>
<td>0.714</td>
<td>0.707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3mm (60kg.m⁻²)</td>
<td>1.41 x 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>0.390</td>
<td>0.461</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>0.621</td>
<td>0.594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thick Lead Barrier: (&gt; 4mm lead)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photon fraction</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.856</td>
<td>0.905</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.942</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attenuation coefficient (mm⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.551</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-value layer (mm)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONCRETE: Transmission Factors</strong> <em>(Note 2)</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40mm (hollow block)</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>0.564</td>
<td>0.562</td>
<td>0.478</td>
<td>0.495</td>
<td>0.604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90mm filled concrete blocks</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140mm filled concrete blocks</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190mm filled concrete blocks</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thick Concrete Barrier: (&gt;200mm concrete)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photon fraction</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attenuation coefficient (mm⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.0242</td>
<td>0.0144</td>
<td>0.0144</td>
<td>0.0185</td>
<td>0.0162</td>
<td>0.0126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-value layer (mm)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note 1:* Australian Radioisotopes Tl201 at time of calibration with maximum permissible level of Tl200 contamination.

*Note 2:* These transmission factors are for radiation hardened by transmission through the lead walls of the storage pots.

*Note 3:* A hollow precast concrete "Besser" block is assumed to have a minimum integrated wall thickness of 40mm.
### 1.2.5 Shielding Design Parameters for Radioactive Patients

**Room** | **Injection Room** | **Waiting Room** | **Camera Room**
---|---|---|---
Mean patient loading and activity for each room | for each camera/week: 60 x 800MBq Tc99m, 1 x 300MBq Ga67, 5 x 120GBq Tl201 | 2 patients/camera, each with: 700MBq Tc99m, 4MBq Ga67, 10MBq Tl201 | 1 patient/camera, each with: 600MBq Tc99m, 4MBq Ga67, 10MBq Tl201
Occupancy factors for room | 5 minutes/patient | 8 hours/day | 8 hours/day
Integrated weekly radiation dose from each patient in room (normalised to 1m) | 55 $\Phi$Sv/week (1 patient) | 400 $\Phi$Sv/week (for each patient) | 350 $\Phi$Sv/week (patient on bed)
**LEAD LINED WALLS** | **CONCRETE WALLS** | **Transmission Factor** | **Transmission Factor**
20kg.m$^{-2}$ (1.76mm) lead sheet | 0.0182 | 40mm (unfilled block) | 0.3800
30kg.m$^{-2}$ (2.64mm) lead sheet | 0.0038 | 100mm concrete block | 0.1381
40kg.m$^{-2}$ (3.52mm) lead sheet | 0.0019 | 150mm concrete block | 0.0435
60kg.m$^{-2}$ (5.28mm) lead sheet | 0.0012 | 200mm concrete block | 0.0134

Note 1: Typical activities and dose rates for a facility with a single dual-head gamma camera (scale the radiation dose rates as appropriate for other systems).
### Item 1.3 Therapy X-ray Applications

#### Table 1.3.1 Linear Accelerator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X-ray Equipment Type</th>
<th>Max. Tube Voltage, V (MV)</th>
<th>Max. Workload, W (Gy/wk)</th>
<th>Max. X-ray Leakage (mGy/h @ 1m)</th>
<th>Radiation Beam Use Factor, U</th>
<th>Source to Skin Distance, SSD (m)</th>
<th>Max. Field Size (cm²)</th>
<th>Range of Collimation Rotation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linear Accelerators</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>±185º</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>±185º</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 1.3.2 Superficial Therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X-ray Equipment Type</th>
<th>Max. Tube Voltage, V (kVp)</th>
<th>Max. Workload, W (mA.min/wk)</th>
<th>Max. Tube Current, I (mA)</th>
<th>Radiation Beam Use Factor, U</th>
<th>Source to Skin Distance, FFD (m)</th>
<th>Max. Field Size (cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial Therapy</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.3.3  Deep Therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X-ray Equipment Type</th>
<th>Max. Tube Voltage, V (kVp)</th>
<th>Max. Workload, W (mA.min/wk)</th>
<th>Max. Tube Current, I (mA)</th>
<th>Radiation Beam Use Factor, U</th>
<th>Source to Skin Distance, FFD (m)</th>
<th>Max. Field Size (cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deep Therapy</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Data not available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

General Note

1. Design parameters specific to the equipment/facility should be used for simulators, CT scanners, fluoroscopy and other X-ray equipment solely used for therapeutic applications.
Appendix 2  Reference Documents

The reference documents provide design principles, computational methods and data for the design of radiation shielding. Details on construction and installation of radiation shielding are also provided. These are the only references currently approved for use. This reference list will be continually reviewed, and amended, where necessary.
REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

2.1 Documents Describing Methods


X Protection Against Ionizing Radiation From External Sources Used in Medicine, ICRP Publication 33, Annals of ICRP Vol. 9, No. 1, 1982.

X Radiation Protection Guidelines for 0.1 - 100MeV Particle Accelerator Facilities, NCRP Report 51.

X Radiation Protection in Radiotherapy, IPSM Report No. 46, Institute of Physical Science in Medicine, 1986.


2.2 Documents Describing Data


2.3 Documents Describing Construction and Inspection of Radiation Shielding


2.4 Miscellaneous Documents

X Metzger R, Richardson R and Van Riper KA, A Monte Carlo Model for Retrospective Analysis of Shield


Amman E, X-Ray Tubes and Generators for Interventional Radiology, Physics in Diagnostic Radiology, The Institute of Physical Sciences in Medicine, York, pp 64-71.

2.5 Bibliography


Appendix 3  Example of a Design Report
DESIGN REPORT (Example Only)

1. Owner: Dr K Health

2. Radiation Facility Address: General X-ray Room
   Room No 2, Level A
   Block 12, Royal Hospital
   Pacific Highway, Brisbane Q 4000

3. Proposed Use of Facility: Fluoroscopy and Radiography

4. Radiation Apparatus Details:
   Phillips Medico 30CP
   150kVp (max)
   One X-ray Table
   Floor/Ceiling X-ray Tube Stand
   Wall Mounted Vertical Bucky Stand

5. Design Parameters
   Workload: 1600mAmin per week
   Workload Derivation: 400mAmin per week based on 1200 exposures at an average of 20mAs.
   1200mAmin per week based on 190 minutes per week screening time at an average screening current of 6mA.
   Screening time of 190 minutes was calculated as follows:
   1. Pacemaker implants and replacements
      15 patients at 2 minutes screening time per patient
   2. Electrophysiology studies
      5 patients at 2 minutes screening time per patient
   3. Radiofrequency ablations
      5 patients at 30 minutes screening time per patient
      Total screening time is equal to 190 minutes per week

   Max kVp: 150
   Max Continuous Current: 5mA
   Max Tube Leakage: 1mGy/hr at 1m

   Input Field Size: 1st focus: 720cm²
   2nd focus: 1500cm²

   Focus Skin Distance: 1st focus: 0.80m
   2nd focus: 1.6m
6. Conditions of Design
   a. The facility is a single story building with no occupiable areas above or below the facility.
   b. The position and orientation of the X-ray equipment, bucky and table shall be as shown in the working plans.
   c. The defined use of all associated areas shall not change.
   d. The primary beam shall be directed only on wall A, B & C and the floor.
   e. The workload shall not increase above 1600mAmin/wk.
   f. Unexposed films to be stored in the dark room in a box shielded with 10kg/m².
   g. The controlled areas shall not change.

7. Details of Recommended Shielding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wall</th>
<th>Use factor</th>
<th>Shielding Material and Specifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>lead 30 kg/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.0625</td>
<td>lead 25 kg/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>lead 25 kg/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>lead 15 kg/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Film</td>
<td></td>
<td>lead 10 kg/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>shielding not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceiling</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>250mm concrete slab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>lead 25 kg/m²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Methodologies Used in the Design of Radiation Shielding
   c. Raymond Rossi, Russell Ritenour and Emmanuel Christodoulou, Broad Beam Transmission Properties of some
common shielding
materials for use in
Diagnostic Radiology,

9. Critical Locations Recommended for Inspection of Radiation shielding

Persons undertaking the inspection of radiation shielding must confirm that shielding to be
installed or installed complies with specifications of the design. Shielding in door jambs,
overlap of lead sheets and other material, shielding behind switches, locks and other conduits
must be verified. Special attention should be given to the following locations in the radiation
shielding:

a. Wall A behind the bucky
b. Control panel
c. Wall B - 1m from corner of wall A and wall B (protection to the physiotherapy room)
d. Wall C - 1m from corner of wall C and wall D (protection to dark room)

10. Consultant Details

Name: Mr H Smith
17 Queens Drive, Kingsley.

Phone No: (07) 5536 2560

Signature:

Date:
Appendix 4  Example of a Shielding Plan

Note: This is an example only. One or more radiation facilities may be included on a shielding plan.
CLASSIFICATION OF RADIATION FACILITIES

As a general guide medical radiation facilities have been categorised into three groups which represent the relative public health risk if appropriate shielding is not installed.

(i)  **Category I (low public health risk)**

- Veterinary Radiography
- Mammography
- Conventional Dental Radiography
- Bone Densitometry
- Blood Irradiation (some)

(ii)  **Category II (medium public health risk)**

- General Radiography
- CT Scanning
- Theatres / Screening Rooms
- Dental Panoramic Tomography
- Tomography
- Blood Irradiation (some)
- Nuclear Medicine (Medical and Veterinary)
- Chiropractic Radiography
- Superficial Therapy

(iii)  **Category III (high public health risk)**

- Cardiac Theatres
- Radiation Therapy (linear accelerators)
- Radiotherapy (using sealed and unsealed radioactive substances including remote after loading devices)
Appendix 6   Notification Forms
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MEDICAL RADIATION APPARATUS

Notification of Construction of Radiation Shielding

Details of only one radiation apparatus should be included on this form. Please photocopy this form if you need to include details of more than one apparatus.

LICENSEE / OWNER DETAILS

Corporate name / Registered company name & ACN

Name of licensee / owner
Address (for correspondence only)
Contact telephone number
Contact facsimile number

CERTIFICATION

1. CERTIFICATION FROM THE LICENSEE

I certify that the radiation facility has been constructed to conform to the radiation shielding design specified herein and I have verified the integrity of the radiation shielding installed using the following method approved in the Queensland Health Radiation Shielding Manual (please tick appropriate response).

- Visual Inspection
- Non Destructive Testing
- Destructive Testing
- Radiation Dose Survey

Signature of owner / licensee Date

2. CERTIFICATION OF THE RADIATION SHIELDING DESIGN

I certify that the radiation shielding design has been prepared using methodologies approved in the Queensland Health Radiation Shielding Manual and shielding recommended by me will limit the doses to all areas to below the Design Limits established by the Chief Health Officer.

Signature of Consultant Date

3. CERTIFICATION OF CONSTRUCTION OF RADIATION SHIELDING

I certify that the radiation facility has been constructed to conform to the radiation shielding design specified herein and I have verified the integrity of the radiation shielding installed using the following method approved in the Queensland Health Radiation Shielding Manual (please tick appropriate response).

- Visual Inspection
- Non Destructive Testing
- Destructive Testing
- Radiation Dose Survey

Signature of owner / licensee Date
MEDICAL RADIATION APPARATUS

Notification of Construction of Radiation Shielding

Details of only one radiation apparatus should be included on this form. Please photocopy this form if you need to include details of more than one apparatus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Required - 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Physicist
| Compliance Notice |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corrective Action By</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APPLICATION ENQUIRIES TO: The Chief Health Officer, 450 Gregory Tce, Fortitude Valley, 4006
Telephone: (07) 3406 8000 Facsimile: (07) 3406 8030

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corrective Action By</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REASON FOR THIS APPLICATION

New Radiation Facility (indicate whether new or existing premises)

Alterations to a Registered Facility (indicate the alterations/additions made)

RADIATION FACILITY DETAILS

Type of Radiation Facility (eg Mammography Room, Theatre, General X-Ray Room etc)

Manufacturer

Model

Address (location of the apparatus within the address, eg Room 1, Floor 2, B Block, Smith Hospital, Smithfield)

RADIATION APPARATUS DETAILS

Max mA

Max kVp

DESIGN PARAMETER DETAILS

Max kVp

Max mA

Max Leakage

CONITIONS OF DESIGN

(Fluoroscopy unit is dedicated to fluoroscopic functions only, no primary projections)

Workload

INSTALLED SHIELDING DETAILS

(please reference the shielding details to the attached working plan)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Barrier</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Shielding Installed eg. Lead 10kg/m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Door</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## MEDICAL RADIATION APPARATUS

### Notification of Construction of Radiation Shielding

Details of only one radiation apparatus should be included on this form. Please photocopy this form if you need to include details of more than one apparatus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wall</th>
<th>View</th>
<th>Window</th>
<th>Ceiling</th>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>Viewing Panel</th>
<th>Film Hopper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Radiation Health Audit

(Radiation Health Use Only)

Attach separate sheet for details on non-compliance.

**Audit Details**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Audit Checks</th>
<th>Audit 1</th>
<th>Audit 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Facility Details**

- Address
- Location

**Radiation Apparatus**

- Manufacturer
- Model
- Serial number

**Design Parameters**

- Max kVp
- Max mA
- Leakage
- Occupancy
- Use Factors
- Isodose Curves

### LICENSEE / OWNER DETAILS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corporate name / Registered company name &amp; ACN</th>
<th>Name of licensee / owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Address (for correspondence only)</td>
<td>Contact telephone number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CERTIFICATION DETAILS

1. CERTIFICATION FROM THE LICENSEE

I certify that the radiation facility will operate in compliance with design parameters and conditions of design as specified by the radiation shielding consultant. I also understand that any deviation from the conditions of the design or design parameters will invalidate the radiation shielding design and necessitate a review of the radiation shielding.

Signature of owner / licensee Date

2. CERTIFICATION OF THE RADIATION SHIELDING DESIGN

Name of radiation shielding consultant

Address (for correspondence only)

Contact telephone number Qld Health Accreditation Number

I certify that the radiation shielding design has been prepared using methodologies approved in the Queensland Health Radiation Shielding Manual and shielding recommended by me will limit the doses to all areas to below the Design Limits established by the Chief Health Officer.

Signature of Consultant Date

3. CERTIFICATION OF CONSTRUCTION OF RADIATION SHIELDING

Name of radiation shielding consultant

Address (for correspondence only)

Contact telephone number Qld Health Accreditation Number

I certify that the radiation facility has been constructed to conform to the radiation shielding design specified herein and I have verified the integrity of the radiation shielding installed using the following method approved in the Queensland Health Radiation Shielding Manual (please tick appropriate response).

- Visual Inspection
- Non Destructive Testing
- Destructive Testing
- Radiation Dose Survey

APPLICATION ENQUIRIES TO: The Chief Health Officer, 450 Gregory Tce, Fortitude Valley, 4006 Telephone (07) 3406 8000 Facsimile (07) 3406 8030
## SEALED AND UNSEALED SOURCES

### Notification of Construction of Radiation Shielding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compliance Notice #</th>
<th>Improvement Notice #</th>
<th>Action Required - 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**APPLICATION ENQUIRIES TO:** The Chief Health Officer, 450 Gregory Tce, Fortitude Valley, 4006
Telephone (07) 3406 8000 Facsimile (07) 3406 8030

## New Radiation Facility (indicate whether new or existing premises)

### RADIATION FACILITY DETAILS

**Type of Radiation Facility** (eg Nuclear Medicine Facility, Pathology etc.)

**Address** (location of the apparatus within the address, eg Room 1, Floor 2, B Block, Smith Hospital, Smithfield)

### RADIATION SOURCE DETAILS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotope</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Local shielding No.</th>
<th>Isotope</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Local shielding No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### INSTALLED SHIELDING DETAILS

(please reference the shielding details to the attached working plan)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Barrier</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Shielding Installed (eg Lead Thickness)</th>
<th>Barrier</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Shielding Installed (eg Lead Thickness)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CONDITIONS OF DESIGN

(eg the Mo99 generator shall be stored within the ARI shield)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**APPLICATION ENQUIRIES TO:** The Chief Health Officer, 450 Gregory Tce, Fortitude Valley, 4006
Telephone (07) 3406 8000 Facsimile (07) 3406 8030
### SEALED AND UNSEALED SOURCES

**Notification of Construction of Radiation Shielding**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiation Source</th>
<th>Design Parameters</th>
<th>Working Plan Details</th>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Shielding Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mo99 Generator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsealed Sources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camera No.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House shielding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source Generators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclides</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsealed Sources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unused generators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation Source</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot Lab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient Waiting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection Room</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient Toilet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma Camera Room</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layout</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unused Generators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlled Areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Radiation Sources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditions of Design</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>